

## Problem Set 5

Due: January 16

**Instructions:**

- Type your solution and email it to "focf19hw@gmail.com", with subject "HW#, ID#, Name".
- You're encouraged to use latex. You can find on the webpage the assignment's tex file to help you.
- You can cooperate. However, you should write the solution by yourself, and list all collaborators for each question. Same goes for any external sources that you may use.
- Do not discuss solutions over the course's forum. You are more than welcome though to ask for clarifications regarding the questions themselves.

1. Consider the GMW zero-knowledge proof system for 3COL when repeated sequentially  $t = n \cdot |E|$  times. Let  $G = (U, E)$  be a graph and let  $P^*$  be a (w.l.o.g deterministic) prover that manages to convince the verifier  $V$  of accepting with probability  $n^{-O(1)}$ .

We will prove that we can efficiently extract a legal 3-coloring of  $G$  given oracle access to  $P^*$ . Here oracle access means that we can *rewind*  $P^*$ . Formally, we are given access to *the next message function of  $P^*$*  that given a transcript of all prover-verifier messages up to some point, generates the next prover message. In particular, any partial interaction in the first  $i - 1$  rounds, can be continued in different ways, by having the extractor choose different verifier messages as the  $i + 1$ st message.

Consider the random process of running  $t$  interactions with the prover (where at each one the verifier sends a random message). For  $i \in [t]$ , let  $p_i$  be a random variable that is the probability, over  $V$ 's coins, that  $V$  accepts in the  $i$ th interaction, conditioned on the first  $i - 1$  interactions (this random variable becomes fixed once we fix the first  $i - 1$  interactions). Let  $G_i$  be the event that  $p_i > 1 - \frac{1}{|E|}$ .

- (a) (15 pts) Prove that the probability that in  $t$  interactions the prover convinces the verifier of accepting, but none of the events  $G_1, \dots, G_t$  occurred is bounded by  $2^{-\Omega(n)}$ .
  - (b) (10 pts) Deduce that in  $t$  interactions the probability that for some  $i$ , the event  $G_i$  occurs is  $n^{-O(1)}$ .
  - (c) (15 pts) Prove the existence of the required extractor.
2. Consider an auction with a seller party  $S$  and three participants  $A, B, C$  with inputs  $a, b, c \in [2^n]$  representing their bids. They run an MPC protocol (against malicious parties) for the function that gives  $S$  the identity and the bid of the highest bidder. Assume that  $b$  and  $c$  are chosen at random.
    - (a) (15 pts) Prove that the probability that a corrupted  $A^*$  outputs  $b$  is negligible.
    - (b) (15 pts) Prove that the probability that a corrupted  $A^*$  wins with bid  $1 + \max\{b, c\}$  is negligible.

3. In the following question, addition and multiplication are done modulo 2.

(a) (15 pts) Consider the following  $m$ -party randomized function mapping  $m$  pairs of bits to  $m$  bits:

$$(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_m, b_m) \mapsto c_1, \dots, c_m ,$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  are uniform in  $\{0, 1\}^m$  subject to  $\sum_{i \in [m]} c_i = \left(\sum_{i \in [m]} a_i\right) \times \left(\sum_{i \in [m]} b_i\right)$ .

Describe a semi-honest protocol for computing the above function, assuming a semi-honest protocol for any two-party function.

(b) (15 pts) Use the fact that  $\{+, \times\}$  is a universal set of Boolean gates to describe a semi-honest protocol for any deterministic  $m$ -party function.

4. (**Bonus** 10 pts) Show that any two-message (1, 2)-OT (that is semi-honestly secure) implies public-key encryption.